(Revised 06/08)

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title: Vulcan Golf: LLC	Plantiff(s)
VS.	
Google, Inc., et al.	Defendant(s)
Case Number: 07CV3371	Judge: Kocoras

I, Daralyn J. Durie hereby apply to the Court

under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of

Google, Inc. by whom I have been retained.

I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:

Title of Court	Date Admitted
Supreme Court of the State of California	02/09/1994
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit	09/24/1994
United States Court of Appeal for the Federal Circuit	05/14/2003
PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT FOR MORE COURTS IN GOOD STANDING	

I have currently, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro hac vice applications to this Court in the following actions:

Case Number	Case Title	Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*

*If denied, please explain:
(Attach additional form if necessary)

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? **Yes** ◉ **No** ○

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Dockets.Justia.com

Has the applicant ever been:

censured, suspended, disbarred, or witherwise disciplined by any court?	**Yes** ○	**No** ◉
or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?	**Yes** ○	**No** ◉
transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of nay court?	**Yes** ○	**No** ◉
denied admission to the bar of any court?	**Yes** ○	**No** ◉
held in contempt of court?	**Yes** ○	**No** ◉

NOTE: If the answer to *any* of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois, effective November 12, 1991 (Local Rules 83.50 through 83.58), and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, effective December 15, 1992, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Sep 9, 2008	S/ Daralyn J. Durie
Date	Electronic Signature of Applicant

Applicant's Name	Last Name Durie		First Name Daralyn	Middle Name/Initial J.
Applicant's Law Firm	Keker & Van Nest LLP			
Applicant's Address	Street Address 710 Sansome Street			Room/Suite Number
	City San Francisco	State CA	ZIP Code 94111	Work Phone Number (415) 391-5400

(The pro hac vice admission fee is $100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and $50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of the Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is $100.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is $100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and $50.00 for cases filed on or after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

Daralyn J. Durie

Application to Appear Pro Hac Vice
Attachment
Admittance to Practice in the Following Courts

Supreme Court of the State of California, February 9, 1994

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, August 24, 1994

United States District Court, Eastern District of California, November 13, 1998

United States District Court, Central District of California, November 17, 1998

United States District Court, Southern District of California, November 8, 1999

United States District Court, Northern District of California, January 5, 2000

United States Court of Appeal for the Federal Circuit, May 14, 2003

United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit, July 28, 2006